IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE THE APPLICATION OF)	James Alexander Sharpe
		10/000

SERIAL NO.:) 10/522,924

FILED:) September 26, 2005

FOR:) OPTICAL PROJECTION TOMOGRAPHY

CUSTOMER NUMBER) 23644
CONFIRMATION NO.) 6623
ART UNIT:) 2872

EXAMINER:) Thong Q. Nguyen

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.) 920602-97830

RESPONSE TO ELECTION REQUIREMENT DATED MARCH 25, 2010

Honorable Director of Patents and Trademarks P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the Election Requirement of March 25, 2010, the Applicant elects Species I comprising Claims 1-9. Claim 10 will be pursued, if at all, in a divisional application unless a generic claim is presented at sometime during the prosecution of this application.

With respect to the first two paragraphs of the Detailed Action regarding the required copies of the Specification, that is not understood. When the application was filed, both a clean version of the application, as well as a version with markings, were filed. It appears that the Patent and Trademark Office erred when scanning the documents. In any event, being also submitted herewith are duplicates of both the clean version of the Specification, as well as the version with markings, both of which were filed with the Patent and Trademark Office on January 25, 2005. All is believed to be in order, and if anything further is needed, the undersigned should be contacted.